AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions shortly before the Commission votes on the draft.

DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2009-07 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Benjamin L. Ginsberg, Esq. and Kathryn Biber Chen, Esq. on behalf of Representative Randy Neugebauer.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.

2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00pm noon (Eastern Time) on June 3, 2009.

3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.

4) All timely received comments will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office.
CONTACTS

Press inquiries: Judith Ingram (202) 694-1220
Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to AO 2009-07, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530 or visit the Commission’s website at www.fec.gov.

For questions about comment submission procedures, contact Rosemary C. Smith, Associate General Counsel, at (202) 694-1650.
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Commission Secretary
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463

Rosemary C. Smith
Associate General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

FROM: Thomasenia P. Duncan
       General Counsel
       
       Rosemary C. Smith
       Associate General Counsel
       
       Robert M. Knop
       Assistant General Counsel
       
       Neven F. Stipanovic
       Attorney

Subject: Draft AO 2009-07 (Representative Randy Neugebauer)

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We set June 3, 2009, as the deadline for public comments on the attached draft. We plan to circulate the draft advisory opinion for a 24-hour tally-vote on June 4, 2009.

Attachment
Dear Mr. Ginsberg and Ms. Biber Chen:

We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Representative Randy Neugebauer and his principal campaign committee, Neugebauer Congressional Committee ("Committee"), concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Commission regulations to the use and rental of a recreational boat that is owned by a limited liability company ("LLC"), which in turn is partly owned by Representative Neugebauer.

Because the LLC would provide use of the boat to the Committee without charge, and Representative Neugebauer does not hold an undivided ownership interest in the boat, the Commission concludes that this transaction would be an in-kind contribution by the LLC. Accordingly, the LLC may contribute the rental value of the boat to the Committee up to the LLC’s permissible contribution limits. The Committee must pay the LLC the usual and normal charge for any rental value of the boat that exceeds the LLC’s contribution limits.

Background

The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on April 8, 2009 and your telephone conversation with Commission attorneys on April 17, 2009.
In 2008, Representative Neugebauer, and certain members of his family, formed an LLC under the laws of the State of Texas. Representative Neugebauer and his wife together own a sixty percent share of the LLC. The remaining forty percent share is held by a limited partnership, which is owned by Representative Neugebauer’s son, Representative Neugebauer’s daughter-in-law, and two trusts benefitting their children (Representative Neugebauer’s grandchildren). Representative Neugebauer and his wife also hold a nominal ownership share in the limited partnership. The LLC has elected to be treated as a partnership for Federal income tax purposes.

After formation, the LLC purchased a recreational boat that will be harbored in the Washington, D.C. area. The LLC intends to rent the boat to third parties and, to that end, it has consulted with Washington, D.C.-area boat rental companies to determine the fair-market-value of renting a boat comparable to the one owned by the LLC. Rental fees charged by the LLC would cover use of the boat only; renters would be responsible for hiring and paying for a boat captain, staff, and any other events costs, including catering charges. The LLC intends to monitor, and change, the fees charged for renting its boat to ensure seasonal accuracy and to account for any market adjustments.

**Questions Presented**

1. *May the Committee use the LLC’s boat for Committee events without charge if such use does not exceed Representative Neugebauer’s right to use the boat?*

2. *Once the Committee’s uncompensated use has exceeded Representative Neugebauer’s right to use the boat, may the Committee pay the LLC the fair-market-value rental charge to use the boat?*
3. As an alternative to using the boat without charge, may the Committee pay the LLC the fair-market-value rental charge for the right to use the boat?

4. May Representative Neugebauer, using his personal funds, pay the LLC the fair-market-value rental charge for the Committee’s right to use the boat?

5. If the answer to Question 4 is affirmative, how must Representative Neugebauer report such an expenditure on behalf of the Committee?

6. May the LLC rent the boat to other committees, including other principal campaign committees, leadership PACs, and party committees, at the fair market rate?

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

Question 1: May the Committee use the LLC’s boat for Committee events without charge if such use does not exceed Representative Neugebauer’s right to use the boat?

Under the facts presented, the Committee’s use of the LLC’s boat is an in-kind contribution by the LLC. Consequently, the LLC may contribute the rental value of the boat up to the LLC’s permissible contribution limit. The Committee may use the LLC’s boat for Committee events without charge up to $2,400 in rental value of the boat, per election.

Candidates for Federal office, except Presidential candidates electing to accept public funding, may make unlimited expenditures from personal funds. 11 CFR 110.10; see also, Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 52, 53 (1976). Accordingly, Representative Neugebauer may make unlimited expenditures deriving from any assets that he has legal right of access to or control over, and with respect to which he has (1) legal and rightful title or (2) an equitable interest. 2 U.S.C. 431(26); 11 CFR 100.33(a).
The facts presented by the requestor indicate that the boat is an asset of the LLC. This determination is further affirmed by Texas law which provides, as a default, that a member of an LLC “does not have an interest in any specific property of the company,” Tex. Bus. Orgs. Code Ann. § 101.106(b), and by the fact that materials and information furnished by the requestor do not indicate anything to the contrary regarding Representative Neugebauer’s property interest in the boat. Accordingly, the LLC holds the ownership interest in the boat, and the LLC would be providing the use of the boat to the Committee. Thus, any value deriving from the boat would not constitute “personal funds” of Representative Neugebauer under the Act.

The Commission therefore analyzes this transaction under the statutory framework applying to LLCs. An LLC is treated as a “person” under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 431(11). Accordingly, LLCs are subject to the Act’s provisions regarding contributions and expenditures made by persons. 2 U.S.C. 431(8) and (9). The term “contribution” includes any gift, subscription, loan, advance or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i). Commission regulations provide that “anything of value” encompasses providing any goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal charge for such goods or services. 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1).

By allowing the Committee to use the boat for campaign events without charge, the LLC would be providing the rental value of the boat to the Committee for the purpose of influencing the election of Representative Neugebauer. The Committee’s use of the LLC’s boat without charge, therefore, would be an in-kind contribution by the LLC.
The Commission generally treats contributions by LLCs consistent with the tax treatment that the entities elect under the Internal Revenue Code. See Treatment of Limited Liability Companies Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 64 FR 37397 (Jul. 12, 1999). An LLC treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue Service is subject to the contribution limits that apply to partnerships. 11 CFR 110.1(g)(2).

Because the LLC in this case has elected to be treated as a partnership for Federal income tax purposes, it would be allowed to contribute up to $2,400 per election. 11 CFR 110.1(b) and (e). Accordingly, the Committee could use the LLC’s boat without charge up to $2,400 in rental value of the boat, per election. The Commission notes that a candidate or a political committee may not knowingly accept any contributions in excess of the statutory contribution limits. 11 CFR 110.9.

The rental value of the boat would be the usual and normal charge for a comparable boat rental in the Washington, D.C. area. Under Commission regulations, the usual and normal charge for goods is the price in the market from which the goods ordinarily would have been purchased at the time of contribution; the usual and normal charge for services is the charge for services at a commercially reasonable rate prevailing at the time the services were rendered. 11 CFR 100.52(d)(2). In this case, the LLC would be contributing the charge for the boat rental at a commercially reasonable rate in the Washington, D.C. area prevailing at the time the services of the boat were rendered to the Committee.
Question 2: Once the Committee’s uncompensated use has exceeded Representative Neugebauer’s right to use the boat, may the Committee pay the LLC the fair-market-value rental charge to use the boat?

When the Committee’s use of the boat exceeds $2,400 per election, the Committee may continue using the boat if it pays the LLC the usual and normal charge for a comparable boat rental in the Washington, D.C. area. The payment for the use of the boat at the usual and normal charge would not be treated as an in-kind contribution from the LLC to the Committee. 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1) and (d)(2).

Question 3: As an alternative to using the boat without charge, may the Committee pay the LLC the fair-market-value rental charge for the right to use the boat?

Yes, as the Commission concluded in Questions 1 and 2 above, the Committee may pay the LLC the usual and normal rental charge for the Committee’s use of the boat.

Question 4: May Representative Neugebauer, using his personal funds, pay the LLC the fair-market-value rental charge for the Committee’s use of the boat?

Yes, Representative Neugebauer may use his personal funds to pay the LLC the usual and normal rental charge for the Committee’s use of the boat.

As the Commission noted in Question 1 above, candidates for Federal office may make unlimited expenditures from personal funds. 11 CFR 110.10. A payment by Representative Neugebauer to the LLC for the benefit of the Committee would be an in-kind contribution from Representative Neugebauer to the Committee, and such payment would not be subject to Act’s contribution limits. Accordingly, Representative
Neugebauer may pay the LLC for the Committee’s use of the boat in unlimited amounts at the usual and normal charge for a comparable boat rental. The Commission notes, however, that were Representative Neugebauer to pay the LLC for the Committee’s use of the boat at less than the usual and normal charge, the difference between the actual rate charged and the usual and normal charge would be considered an in-kind contribution by the LLC. For proper treatment of an LLC contribution to the Committee, see discussion in Question 1 above.

Question 5: If the answer to Question 4 is affirmative, how must Representative Neugebauer report such an expenditure on behalf of the Committee?

The personal funds expended by Representative Neugebauer must be reported by the Committee as in-kind contributions from Representative Neugebauer. 2 U.S.C. 434; 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1), 104.13. Such contributions must be reported as both contributions and expenditures of the Committee and, assuming they aggregate in excess of $200 in a calendar year, must be reported on Schedules A and B. 11 CFR 104.13(a)(1), 104.13(a)(2), and 104.3(b)(4)(i).

Question 6: May the LLC rent the boat to other committees, including other principal campaign committees, leadership PACs, and party committees, at the fair market rate?

Yes, the LLC may rent the boat to other committees at the usual and normal charge. As the Commission concluded in Questions 1 and 2 above, payments to the LLC for the use of the boat at the usual and normal charge would not be treated as an in-kind contribution by the LLC. 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1) and (d)(2). Accordingly, the LLC may
rent the boat to other committees if the LLC charges for the boat rental at a commercially
reasonable rate in the Washington, D.C. area prevailing at the time the services of the
boat were rendered. *Id.* For further guidance, see Commission’s response to Questions 1
and 2 above.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any
of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a
conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that
conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific
transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the
transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on
this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note the analysis or
conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the
law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.

On behalf of the Commission,

Steven T. Walther
Chairman